

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/705,879	11/13/2003	Uwe Leuteritz	033275-416	6293
21839 75	9 7590 12/14/2006		EXAMINER	
· ·	, INGERSOLL & RO	HWU, DAVIS D		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/705,879	LEUTERITZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Davis D. Hwu	3752				
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE as a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	ovember 2003.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) dobjected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
	_ •		eu III tilis National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau		·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•						
		P					
Attachmen		A) []	(DTO 442)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(୮10-413) ite				
3) 🛛 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 11/13/03, 12/23/04.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					
I.S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/705,879 Page 2

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: it depends on itself.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitations "the internal volume", "the device", "the nozzle body", "the high-voltage electrode", and "the bursting." There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Biblarz et al.

Biblarz et al. shows a method of atomizing a liquid medium using a device wherein the liquid medium is supplied to an internal volume of the device under pressure, a nozzle

Art Unit: 3752

recited in claim 2.

body is put on ground potential (Column 2, lines 64-65), and a high voltage is applied to a high-voltage electrode 22, wherein a pulsed high voltage with a variable duty cycle/high voltage is applied to the electrode 22 via a variable voltage supply 31 as

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biblarz et al.

Biblarz et al. do not disclose increasing the duty cycle with a reduction of the pressure of the liquid and vise versa, however, Biblarz et al. do disclose varying the duty based an engine operating parameter. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the method of varying the duty cycle with respect to the pressure as recited to increase atomization of the fuel if the pressure of the fuel is reduced since the fuel atomization can be controlled by the pressure of the fuel. Thus, since a higher pressure increases the fuel atomization, a low fuel pressure will have to be compensated by a higher duty cycle/voltage to atomize the fuel and if the fuel pressure is increased, atomization will take place due to the high pressure so the duty cycle/voltage can be reduced. Regarding claim 4, it is well known in the art that during start-up, the engine is cold and the fuel should be at a higher

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/705,879

Art Unit: 3752

atomization rate to efficiently start the engine. Therefore, a higher duty cycle/voltage would be set to efficiently atomize the fuel during start-up.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Inculet, Mommsen, McKay et al., and Kuhn et al. are pertinent to applicant's invention.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis D. Hwu whose telephone number is 571-272-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAVIS HWU PRIMARY EXAMINED